

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
WESTERN DIVISION  
No. 5:24-CV-232-D

LACHELE SCARBOROUGH, )  
                            )  
Plaintiff,              )  
                            )  
v.                        )                           **ORDER**  
                            )  
CAROYN COLVIN, Acting )  
Commissioner of Social Security,      )  
                            )  
Defendant.             )


On November 7, 2024, Magistrate Robert T. Numbers, II issued a Memorandum and Recommendation (“M&R”) [D.E. 15] and recommended that the court remand the action to the Commissioner. Neither party objected to the M&R.

“The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge’s report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (cleaned up); see 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Absent a timely objection, “a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” Diamond, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and the briefs. The court is satisfied there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 15].

In sum, the court ADOPTS the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 15] and REMANDS the action to the Commissioner.

SO ORDERED. This 15 day of January, 2025.

  
JAMES C. DEVER III  
United States District Judge